IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS **BATESVILLE DIVISION**

ANGELA DENISE WILSON ADC #708565

PLAINTIFF

V.

NO: 1:08CV00041 BSM

JOHN MAPLES et al.

DEFENDANTS

ORDER

The court has received the recommended disposition from United States Magistrate Judge H. David Young, and the objections filed by plaintiff Angela Denise Wilson. After carefully reviewing the recommended disposition, the objections, and reviewing the record de novo, it is concluded that the recommended disposition should be, and hereby is, approved and adopted in all respects in its entirety.

In her objection, Wilson correctly states that her suit does not challenge the constitutionality of the prison grooming policy. Rather, she challenges the method by which the policy was applied to her. The record clearly shows that the grooming policy needed to be clarified for prison employees and that warden John Maples did so by memo dated July 7, 2008. Although these points are important, misinterpretation and misapplication of the policy to Wilson is not a constitutional violation. See Gardner v. Howard, 109 F.3d 427, 430 (8th Cir. 1997). A disciplinary charge based on a misinterpretation of the policy is also not a constitutional violation. See Sprouse v. Babcock, 870 F.2d 450, 452 (8th Cir. 1989).

Defendants' motion for summary judgment (docket entry #170) is GRANTED, and plaintiff's complaint is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE. It is certified that an in forma

Case 1:08-cv-00041-BSM Document 188 Filed 05/27/10 Page 2 of 2

pauperis appeal taken from the order and judgment dismissing this action is considered frivolous and not in good faith.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 27th day of May, 2010.

WITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE